



Performance Program & Evaluation

Introduction and Table of Contents

The basis for requiring periodic evaluations of professional employees is contained in Article XII of the Policies of the Board of Trustees. Specific provisions relating to the Morrisville State College's policy for performance programs and evaluations for professional employees are consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding (1981) between the State University of New York and United University Professions. Although the original memorandum was promulgated in 1972, under Article 33 of the 1971-74 Agreement between the State and the former Senate Professional Association, the memorandum enforced currently was promulgated in 1981 as a result of Article 30 of the 1979-82 agreement with UUP. In most instances, the language of these procedures is taken from the provisions of this Memorandum of Understanding except where it was felt that further clarification was required.

Evaluations can be requested by contacting the Office of Human Resources at 315-684-6038 for the appropriate forms which are also made available on the Human Resources website in various formats for downloading in the Forms section. The following list represents the section titles contained within this document:

- Applicability of Evaluation Procedure
- Purpose of Evaluation
- Performance Program Procedure
- Performance Evaluation
- Evaluation Procedure
- Appeal of Rating

Applicability of Evaluation Procedure

The specific provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding apply to all non-teaching professional employees on a term and probationary appointment. In addition, under local policy, supervisors are required to evaluate Professional Employee's on temporary and permanent appointment.

Purpose of Evaluation

The purpose of our performance program and evaluation system is to provide a background to establish a standard for judging quality of an employee's work and a rational and uniform basis for appraising the performance of non-teaching professional employees in order to:

1. Provide a basis for performance improvement.
2. Serve as a guide to re-evaluate job functions.
3. Provide a basis for career growth.
4. Provide the college president with consultation in making a decision to renew or not renew a

- professional employee's appointment.
5. Provide the college president with consultation in decisions regarding promotions and discretionary salary increases.

An essential element of the performance evaluation process is communication - especially two-way communication between the employee and the immediate supervisor. The formal evaluation should be thoroughly discussed by the employee and the immediate supervisor before either document is completed and forwarded through channels.

Performance Program

Under the Memorandum of Understanding the performance of a professional employee must be appraised in relation to a formal performance program. Developing a performance program is the responsibility of the immediate supervisor and begins with a clear understanding of the duties and responsibilities of the position and the expectation and objectives which need to be accomplished. The immediate supervisor should be guided by the following in preparing a performance program:

- **Responsibility:** Each immediate supervisor as designated by the president is responsible for developing a performance program consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding for all professional employees who work under his/her supervision.
- **Frequency:** Upon the initial appointment of the non-teaching professional, the immediate supervisor is required to develop an initial draft of a performance program within the first thirty calendar days of the professional employee's appointment. In addition, the Memorandum requires that the performance program be completed and signed by the employee and the supervisor within fifteen working days of the initial consultation with the employee. For non-teaching employees currently employed, performance programs must be completed in conjunction with the performance evaluation on an annual basis. In addition, as circumstances warrant, the supervisor and professional employee are required to meet to review the appropriateness of the elements of the performance program. Modifications of the performance program by the immediate supervisor should be reduced to writing, signed, dated, and attached to the current performance program as appropriate.

If, as part of the informal ongoing evaluation process, the immediate supervisor identifies a continuing need for the improvement in the employee's performance, it is the responsibility of the supervisor to discuss this with the employee without delay and specific suggestions for improvement should be made. It is encouraged that the latter be reduced to writing.

Performance Program Procedure

A standard performance program instrument entitled “Performance Program – UUP Professional Employee” has been developed to guide the immediate supervisor in preparing the performance program for professional employees.

Initial Consultation

The evaluation process begins with the development of the performance program based on a discussion between the supervisor and the professional employee concerning the following:

- **The Nature of the Employee’s Duties and Responsibilities:** This should consist of a list of summarized general duties and major responsibilities of the position to which the employee is assigned. This list should indicate the highlights of the job, the role of the job within the unit, and the frequency with which certain duties are performed.
- **Supervisory Relationships:** The professional employee should understand who assigns and directs his/her work and who appraises his/her performance. There should also be an understanding concerning the positions to be supervised in the supervisory relationship.
- **Functional Relationships:** The program should note other functional and/or lateral relationships both within and outside the department.
- **Short and Long-term Objectives:** The immediate supervisor should describe his/her expectations as they relate both to regular, routine, or ongoing objectives and to problem solving or innovative objectives. Attention should be given to the objectives which should be achieved during the evaluation period as well as long-term objectives which may cover two or more years. In addition, the immediate supervisor should describe the standards by which the objectives should be met and upon which the professional employee will be evaluated at a later date.
- **Criteria for Evaluating Achievement of the Objectives, Particular Duties and Responsibilities:** As they relate to particular duties and responsibilities and objectives to which the professional employee is assigned, the following criteria, where applicable, should be among those on which the evaluation is based:
 - **Effectiveness in Performance:** As demonstrated, for example, by success in carrying out assigned duties and responsibilities, attitude, efficiency, productivity, and relationship with colleagues.
 - **Mastery of Specialization:** As demonstrated, for example, by degrees, licenses, honors, awards, and reputation in professional field.
 - **Professional Ability:** As demonstrated, for example, by invention or innovation in professional, scientific, administrative, or technical areas; i.e., development or refinement of programs, methods, procedures, or apparatus.
 - **Effectiveness in University Service:** As demonstrated, for example, by such things as successful committee work, participation in local campus and University governance, and involvement in campus or University-related student or community activities.
 - **Continuing Growth:** As demonstrated, for example, by continuing education, participation in professional organizations, enrollment in training programs, and research.

NOTE: It should be noted that the foregoing criteria and examples thereof are presented for the descriptive and explanatory purposes only and are in no way intended to be all-inclusive or to limit the immediate supervisor in the determination of the appropriate criteria for evaluation. If you wish to add or delete criteria by which the professional employee will be evaluated, attach a separate sheet to the performance program instrument.

As part of the discussion with the professional employee, the immediate supervisor shall consider the extent to which secondary sources such as other agencies, offices, or individuals will be involved with the performance of the employee and may affect the employee's ability to achieve the stated objectives. After consultation with the professional employee, the immediate supervisor shall identify in the performance program the secondary sources to be considered as part of the evaluation process and the relationship between the secondary source and the professional employee. It should be further noted at this time that a summary of the information received from this secondary source will be required of the immediate supervisor at the time the performance evaluation is prepared.

Final Review Meeting

The second step to the evaluation process encompasses the development and acknowledgment of the actual performance program, and distribution of developed materials. These steps are described in detail as follows:

- **Written Performance Program:** After the initial consultation with the professional employee, the immediate supervisor will reduce to writing the result of such discussion into a performance program. The report shall constitute the performance program on which the formal evaluation for the ensuing evaluation period will be based.
- **Acknowledgment - Signing:** The immediate supervisor and the professional employee must sign and date the program. While it is preferable that the supervisor and the employee agree on the performance content, by signing the program the employee is only acknowledging that he/she has read and received a copy of the program. Under the Memorandum of Understanding, if the supervisor and employee do not concur on the performance program, the employee has the right to attach a statement to the performance program within ten working days from receipt.
- **Distribution:** The immediate supervisor distributes the copies of the performance program as follows:
 - Original - Sent to the Human Resource Office to the official personnel file within five days from the signing.
 - Employee - At time of signing.
 - Evaluator's supervisor.
 - Retained by the immediate supervisor.

Modification of Performance Program

As circumstances warrant, the immediate supervisor and the professional employee should meet to review the appropriateness of the elements of the performance program. Modifications of the performance program should be specified in writing in accordance with procedure outlined previously for preparing the original performance program.

Performance Evaluation

The formal evaluation of a non-teaching professional employee should be an expression of the continuing day-to-day evaluation process governed by the following requirements:

- **Responsibility:** Each immediate supervisor is designated by the president and is responsible for evaluating the performance of the professional employee who works under his/her supervision on an annual basis. Such performance evaluation shall be conducted for the purposes described previously and at regular intervals specified below.
- **Frequency:** The performance of each professional employee, without regard to employee status, is to be formally evaluated by the immediate supervisor once each year during the length of the employee's appointment and as changing conditions warrant. Consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding, the performance evaluation of each non-teaching professional employee will be completed. It should be noted that program evaluations which must contain a recommendation pertaining to the employee's reappointment shall be conducted no later than forty-five days prior to the date upon which notice of non-renewal is due.

NOTE: The Human Resources Office will maintain a schedule for initiating appropriate notice to a department to commence the evaluation process. Nothing in this section shall prevent the immediate supervisor from informally evaluating, on a periodic basis, the performance of professional employees who work under his/her supervision.

Evaluation Procedure

A standard performance evaluation instrument entitled "Performance Evaluation – UUP Professional Employee" has been developed to guide supervisors in preparing a formal evaluation and provide a vehicle for recording the summary rating. In addition to the information appearing on the instrument, the immediate supervisor should be guided by the following in preparing the formal evaluation.

Preliminary Evaluation and New Performance Program

The immediate supervisor shall prepare a preliminary evaluation report and new performance program and discuss said reports with the professional employee. The evaluation report should include the following elements:

- A substantive, narrative appraisal of the employee's performance, assessing it in relation to the employee's official performance program. The narrative appraisal must be based on the performance criteria identified in the performance program. Special attention should be given when preparing the narrative portion of the performance evaluation that comments

are based on the performance program, specifically, the short-term and long-term objectives, duties and responsibilities, etc.

- In preparing the summary of information from the secondary sources identified in the performance program, the immediate supervisor should be cautious not to use names of individuals who have provided information relative to the performance of the professional employee. A synopsis of the information in general terms is sufficient.
- The immediate supervisor should, when appropriate, identify commendable performance and/or areas in need of improvement in the “additional comments” category.
- A summary characterization of the employee’s performance must be made as either “satisfactory” or “unsatisfactory.”
- Consistent with the Memorandum of Understanding, a performance program for the forthcoming evaluation period must be attached to the final performance evaluation.
- In the event that a professional employee will be considered for renewal or non-renewal of his/her temporary or term appointment or will be considered for a permanent appointment, the immediate supervisor is required to provide the employee a copy of the written recommendation. The recommendation must accompany the evaluation report.

NOTE: If an immediate supervisor proposes to evaluate a Professional Employee as “unsatisfactory,” he/she should discuss the proposed evaluation with his/her supervisor who will transmit the draft proposed evaluation through the supervisory channels to the appropriate vice president for review. After the draft evaluation has been returned through channels from the vice president, it will become the preliminary evaluation and will be discussed with the employee as prescribed by the Memorandum of Understanding.

New Performance Program

Prior to concluding the discussion, the immediate supervisor and the professional employee shall discuss a new performance program which shall become part of a final, written evaluation report and which shall become the basis for the next formal evaluation of the professional employee’s performance.

Final Evaluation

After concluding the discussions with his/her professional employee concerning the preliminary evaluation, the immediate supervisor shall prepare a final, written evaluation which should include the same elements as listed above for the preliminary evaluation. In addition, the supervisor should prepare a final, new performance program. The performance evaluation and performance program instruments are available in the Human Resources Office. Once a final, written evaluation and new program have been completed, the following steps should be taken:

- The immediate supervisor should meet with the professional employee to discuss the contents of the final, written evaluation to include the new performance program. It is important at this time that the professional employee understands the performance evaluation as well as the new program.
- Both the employee and the immediate supervisor should sign and date the final performance evaluation and the performance program and distribute the final performance evaluation and

new performance program to the appropriate offices and individuals within five days from the signing of the instruments.

Distribution

Both the final, written performance evaluation and the new performance program should be distributed as follows:

- Original is sent to the Human Resources Office for inclusion into the official personnel file.
- The employee receives a copy.
- The evaluator (immediate supervisor) receives a copy.
- The evaluator's supervisor receives a copy.

Appeal of Rating

A professional employee who intends to seek a review of a final evaluation report characterized as "unsatisfactory" must inform, in writing, the immediate supervisor, the chair of the committee on professional evaluation, and the college president or his designee within ten working days of the receipt of the evaluation report. Forms are available in the Human Resources Office for this purpose.

Upon being notified that the professional employee is requesting a review, the immediate supervisor shall, within five working days, provide the chair of the committee on professional evaluation a dated copy of the final evaluation report.

The Process of Review

The committee on professional evaluation established pursuant to the Memorandum of Understanding shall, upon the request of a professional employee, review a final evaluation characterized as "unsatisfactory." The committee review shall address both the procedure and the substance of the unsatisfactory evaluation period. As part of its review, the committee, at its discretion:

- Shall have access to all previous formal evaluations of the professional employees concerned.
- May request and consider any additional comments by either the immediate supervisor or the professional employee.
- May request information pertinent to the review from secondary sources.
- May request that the immediate supervisor and/or the applicant meet with the committee and respond to inquiries.
- Shall be free to call upon any other individual whom they believe has information relative to the evaluation. All individuals shall be encouraged to cooperate with the committee if so requested.

Disposition of Committee

Following this review, the committee shall prepare a written recommendation within twenty-five

working days from the date of appeal and forward said report to:

- Original - college president
- Professional employee
- Immediate supervisor
- Evaluator's supervisor

Within ten working days of the issuance of the report, the college president shall take such action as he/she deems appropriate and shall give written notice of such action to the professional employee, the immediate supervisor, the evaluator's supervisor and the committee on professional evaluation. Action by the president on recommendations which are contained in the final evaluation report and which affect a professional employee's employment shall be final. However, failure of the committee to initiate and complete a timely review shall not prevent the college president from acting upon recommendations in the final evaluation report to conform with notice requirements in the event of non-renewal of term appointments.

Questions regarding this procedure may be directed to the Office of Human Resources at 315-684-6038.

Updated: December 10, 2012